You will find a description of wasteful spending related to biology research. Your assignment is to construct a "one-pager" that describes the biology behind and the importance of this work to a non-scientific audience. You should argue against the caricature presented in the wasteful government spending report, and argue for continuation of funding for this research.

Draft: 30 points. Final paper: 80 points.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Paper feature | Points possible | Points awarded | Comments |
| Overarching goals |  |  |  |
| Persuasive | 10 |  |  |
| Civil | 10 |  |  |
| Evidence-based | 10 |  |  |
| Formatting |  |  |  |
| 2 pages max. | 10 |  |  |
| Readable format | 10 |  |  |
| Title short and informative | 5 |  |  |
| Exec. Summary | 10 |  |  |
| Study summary | 10 |  |  |
| Conclusions | 10 |  |  |
| Policy recs | 10 |  |  |
| Refs/Suggested sources | 5 |  |  |
| TOTAL | 100 |  |  |
| Score is prorated out of 30 or 80 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Other comments: