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For each section, evaluate along the ten-point scale and make suggestions and positive critical recommendations for improvement. Be honest, helpful, and respectful. Expand each section as needed and email to both the author and Dr. G.

**Working, Engaging Title: 7**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

Poor/Missing Average/Needs Work Excellent/Superior

**A lot in it, but I bet it covers a lot.**

**Research Question: 8**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

Poor Average/Needs Work Excellent/Superior

**A very long research question, it sounds interesting…just long.**

**Abstract:8.5**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

Poor/Missing Average/Needs Work Excellent/Superior

**I don’t like “This research”. Other than that amazing☺**

**Literature Review (at least 10 peer-reviewed sources, including books): 10**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

Poor/Missing Average/Needs Work Excellent/Superior

**I am not qualified on how to judge but it looks good!**

**Primary and Secondary Hypotheses (dependent and independent variables):7.5**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

Poor/Missing Average/Needs Work Excellent/Superior

**Once again, incredibly long hypotheses. Maybe combine** narco-politics, drug trade, human exploitation, and corruption into one thing so it doesn’t seem so long and redundent

**Research Design (experimental, quantitative, qualitative, single-case, comparative, or mixed-method), including discussion of the strengths and weaknesses of the methodology): 7**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

Poor/Missing Average/Needs Work Excellent/Superior

**Explain the mixed methodology a little more and how you are using it**

**Data Sources: 10**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

Poor/Missing Average/Needs Work Excellent/Superior

**They all look good!**

**IRB Approval Needed? 10 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ YES \_\_\_\_\_x\_\_ No**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

Poor/Missing Average/Needs Work Excellent/Superior